

Applicants : Andrew R. Reading et al.  
Serial No. : 09/911,836  
Page : 11

REMARKS

The purpose of this submittal is to supplement the response filed March 24, 2003. The undersigned wishes to thank Examiner Politzer for the helpful and courteous interview that was conducted at Examiner Politzer's office on April 2, 2003. At the interview, Applicants were provided the opportunity to discuss the invention as defined in the claims and to discuss the manner in which the invention is patentably distinguishable over the prior art. As discussed during the interview, the combined teaching of the prior art fails to disclose, teach or render obvious a vehicle gas emission analyzer system including a gas analyzer system having at least two analyzer components, one of the analyzer components operating at a particular temperature and another of the analyzer components operating at an elevated temperature that is higher than said particular temperature and a housing for the gas analyzer system which defines at least two internal zones. The at least two internal zones are commonly enclosed by the housing. One of the analyzer components is in one of the internal zones and the other of the analyzer components is in another of the internal zones. The at least two zones are at different operating temperatures.

At the interview, Examiner Politzer requested that Applicants either set forth the particular location in the specification where support is found or amend the specification to import therein the elements of the following claims: 23, 34, 43, 59, 60, 72 and 73. In response to Examiner Politzer's request, the application was carefully reviewed. The "probe" in claim 23 is disclosed at least on page 12, lines 18 and 20. The "temperature at or above 170° C" in claim 34 is disclosed at least on page 11, line 27. The examples of the at least two analyzer components in claim 43 are disclosed at least on page 2, lines 17-22. The dividing wall of claim 59 and the two internal zones being opened to each other of claim 60 are disclosed on page 14, lines 13 and 14.

Claims 72 and 73 are amended to improve their clarity. The specification is amended on page 11, line 33, in order to import the subject matter of claim 73 therein. Because the subject matter of claim 73 was included in originally filed claim 13, no new matter is being added. Examiner Politzer requested clarification of the subject matter of claim 60. Examiner Politzer's attention is respectfully directed to the disclosure on page 14, from lines 12-16.

Applicants : Andrew R. Reading et al.  
Serial No. : 09/911,836  
Page : 12

It is believed that all of the questions raised by Examiner Politzer during the interview have been addressed. If all of the questions have not been addressed or if Examiner Politzer has any further questions, it is requested that he place a telephone call to the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW R. READING ET AL.

By: Van Dyke, Gardner, Linn  
& Burkhart, LLP



Dated: April 22, 2003.

Frederick S. Burkhart  
Registration No. 29 288  
2851 Charlevoix Drive, S.E., Suite 207  
Post Office Box 888695  
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49588-8695  
(616) 975-5500

FSB:djr  
SEN01 P-338A